1975 ANNUAL REPORT on the MINNESOTA LEGISLATURE

by the LEGISLATIVE EVALUATION ASSEMBLY of MINNESOTA

For an Informed Citizenry

November 1975

The Legislative Evaluation Assembly (LEA) of Minnesota is pleased to present its evaluation of the performance of the 201 Senators and Representatives of the Minnesota Legislature during the 1975 legislative session.

VOTING SCORES

As in previous LEA reports, legislators are evaluated not only on their votes on key issues, but also upon the kinds of bills they introduce. Twenty-nine key votes from each house were selected for the 1975 session votings. As some are of greater importance than others, weights of 1, 2, or 3 were assigned to each vote.

If a legislator was excused from attendance for the day of the vote, or for that part of the day in which the vote occurred, an "A" is marked in his line for that vote, and the points for that vote are not included in the calculation of his score. If he was present but did not vote, an "n" is marked in his line for that vote. An "n" is equivalent to a wrong vote on a bill having a weight of one point, because the legislator is assumed to have avoided his responsibility to represent his constitutents on this vote. Voting scores are given as a percentage of correct voting.

INRODUCTIONS SCORES

Every bill introduced in 1975 which LEA judged to be of major importance were rated by LEA anywhere from –4 to +4. Bills rated 4 were of topmost importance, there rated 3 of great (but somewhat less than the 4) importance, and so on. If a bill supported the principles of LEA, it was given a "+". If it ran counter to those principles, it was given a "–". For example, H.F. 679, the gun control bill, was rated "–4".

Bills were also sorted into categories as follows:

CAT. NAME
- A– American Form of Government
- B– Private Property
- C– Order, Religion, and Morality
- D– Spending and Taxes
- E– Education
- F– Welfare
- G– Control of the Individual
- H– Miscellaneous

Taking the example of the gun control bill (H.F' 679) further, you can see that it fits best in Category G, Control of the Individual. The full rating given that bill was, therefore, "–4G".

Every legislator who introduced that bill was given –4 points in LEA's introduction voting, except the primary author, who was given twice that, or –8. The points for all the rated bills each legislator introduced was summed and is shown in the third column, right after the voting score. This number gives the reader an indication of both the quality and quantity of bills sponsored by each Senator or Representative.

The following (5th) column shows the Introduction Quotient. This indicates the average value of the bills introduced by a legislator. For example, if Rep. Smith introduced three bills having ratings of –4A, –3B, and +1B, his IQ would be –2. If Sen. Jones introduced four bills rated +3A, +3C, +2C, +2G, his IQ would be +2.5.

The bills used in the vote ratings in this report are grouped under headings A, B, C, etc. corresponding to the legislative categories listed above. In this way, it is possible for you to see the categories in which your representative is strong or weak. Several legislators, for instance, did poorly in Category A (American Form of Government) and Category B (Private Property) while scoring well in Category C (Order, Religion, and Morality).

More detailed reports on the perfor-
More detailed reports on the performance of individual legislators are available from LEA for $10.00 per legislator. Send your check to:

LEA
P.O. Box 12701
New Brighton, Minnesota 55112

VOTES USED IN HOUSE RATINGS.

Bills in Category A

1. H.F. 38 Presidential Primary Elections. New legislation providing for a Minnesota presidential primary election to be held on the first Tuesday in April in presidential election years. Provides for apportionment of delegates to national party conventions *based on primary vote. Passed 70–60. A YES* vote scores 2 points.

2. H.F. 109 State Department Heads – Serve at Governor's Pleasure. Amends Minnesota statutes relating to State Department and agency heads. Generally provides that 22 heads shall serve at the pleasure of the Governor. Companion bill S.F. 462. Passed 130–0. A NO vote scores 2 points.

3. H.F. 525 Department of Transportation. Repeals various sections of Minnesota Statutes 1974. Creates a new Department of Transportation under a commissioner appointed by the Governor. Purpose is to develop and implement a state multi-mode transportation plan. Passed 80–48. A NO vote scores 3 points.

4. H.F. 371 A Companion Bill to S.F. 250. Creates a citizens committee on the Minnesota legislature. See Senate write up. Passed 78–52. A NO vote scores 3 points.

5. H.F. 610 Metropolitan Council – Election of Members in 1976. Amends sections and subdivisions of Minnesota Statutes 1974. Relating to the Metropolitan Council. Provides for election of council members at the general election in 1976. Passed 99–29. A NO vote scores 2 points.

6. S.F. 757 Tax-Forfeited Lands State Policy Repurchase. Amends parts of Minnesota Statutes 1974. Deletes general policy that tax-forfeited lands should be returned to private ownership. Limits rights of former owners. Passed 125–3. A NO vote scores 3 points.

7. H.F. 1026 Land Use Planning. Establishes a land use planning assistance grant program to local units of government. Passed 106–20. A NO vote scores 3 points.

8. H.F. 1067 Attorney General – Salaries of Personnel. Amends portions of Minnesota Statutes 1974 relating to salaries in the office of Attorney General. Permits Attorney General to set salaries, sets salary range $19,000 – $31,500 deletes certain salary references and deletes limitations on number of Deputy and Assistant Attorneys General. Passed 127–0. A NO vote scores 3 points.

9. H.F. 1309 State Regional Service Center in Region 2. Authorizes establishment of Regional Service Center and determines which state agencies shall be included as a demonstration project. Passed 119–1. A NO vote scores 3 points.

Bills in Category B

10. H.F. 943 Cable Communication Commission. Amends sections and subdivisons of Minnesota Statutes 1974 and repeals some sections. Places the Commission permanently in the Department of Administration. Gives the. Commission power to designate the entity to schedule programs on a public regional channel in the Metro Area. Makes municipal channels subject to the Commission. Passed 1194. A NO vote scores 3 points.

11. H.F. 559 Repeals Fair Trade Act. Repeals Minnesota Statutes 1974 Sections 325.08 to 325.14, the Fair Trade Act. Passed 108–11. A YES vote scores 3 points.

12 H.F. 474 Income Tax – No Deduction – Expenses of Substandard Building. Amends Minnesota Statutes 1974 Chapter 290 by adding a section relating to income taxes. Penalizes property owner of substandard rental building as determined by local government code. Passed 92–38. A NO vote scores 3 points.

13. H.F. 746 Food Stores – Price on Each Item. Requires canned, bottled, boxed or bagged items of food to have the price clearly affixed. The vote was on an amendment which excepts stores with retail sales of under $500,000. Passed 107–22. A YES vote scores 2 points.

14. H.F. 541 Collective Bargaining Agreement Employer Successor Clauses. Amends Minnesota Statutes 1974, Chapter 179 by adding a section relating to labor relations. Provides that every collective bargaining agreement shall be binding upon the employer's successors. Requires employers to give 60 days notice to employees and their unions. Passed 80–48. A NO vote scores 3 points.

15. H.F. 179 Telephone Companies – Investigations Cost. Permits the Public Service Commission to charge costs of investigating a telephone company to that telephone company. Passed 131–0. A NO vote scores 2 points.

Bills in Category C

16. H.F. 1147 A Bill for an Act Relating to Human Rights. Prohibiting discrimination on the basis of criminal record or to inquire as to his criminal record. Applies to persons issuing insurance policies and bonding services and extending credit, also rental housing. Passed 68–44. A NO vote scores 3 points.

17. H.F. 749 Relates to Controlled Substances and Penalties for Possession of Small Amounts of Marijuana. This bill lessens the penalty for possession of drugs, and prohibits municipalities from imposing greater civil or criminal penalties than provided by state law for the possession or distribution of marijuana. Passed 84–45. A NO vote scores 2 points.

18. H.F. 536 No Discrimination Based on Affectional or Sexual Preference. This would allow no discrimination against homosexuals. Defeated 51–68. A NO vote scores 3 points.

19. H.F. 51 Distinction Based on Sex, Changing Surname. Permits judicial change of name without regard to marital status. Provides that there shall be no presumption based on sex that after marriage either party will take the name of the other. This is another attempt at destruction of the family. Passed 83–49. A NO vote scores 2 points.

Bills in Category D

20. H.F. 1769 Relates to Salaries and Condition of Employment in State Civil Service. This shows that state government is getting too big and costly. Several amendments to limit salary increases to a more reasonable figure were defeated. Passed 88–38. A NO vote scores 2 points.

21. H.F. 1722 Relating to Transportation. Increases tax on gasoline. Creates a Minnesota State Transportation Fund. Money can be used for other purposes than for highways. Creates a study commission to evaluate the policies, programs, projects, cost and financing of the Minnesota Department of Highways. Passed 84–49. A NO vote scores 3 points.

22. H.F. 703 Department of Personnel State Employees Insurance. Gives the Commissioner power to make rules regarding the state deferred compensation plan and to promulgate a code of ethics for state employees. Permits optional insurance coverages for state employees to include life insurance, auto, disability, dental, homeowners, vision and other types of insurance coverages. Passed 118–0. A NO vote scores 2 points.

23. H.F. 398 Water Pollution Control Aid and Bonds $85,000,000. Relating to water pollution control bonds and aid to municipalities. Increases amount which may be spent for aid to $85,000,000. This is not a proper function of state government. Passed 122–7. A NO vote scores 2 points.

24. H.F. 66 Health Care Facilities – Bonds. Authorizes the Higher Education and Health Facilities Authority to issue $50,000,000 in bonds each biennium to be lent to nonprofit health care organizations for construction of health care facilities. This is socialized medicine, not a proper function of state government. Passed 117–11. A NO vote scores 3 points.

Bills in Category E

25. H.F. 787 HECC – Budget Review – Loans – Scholarships – Bonds. Gives more power to the Higher Education Coordinating Commission. Increases state scholarships from $1,000 to $1,200 Increases revenue bond limit for student loans from $30 million to $90 million. Authorizes aid to private 4-year colleges and junior colleges. Another way to raise taxes. Passed 123–6. A NO vote scores 2 points.

26. H.F. 235 Post-Secondary Vocational Education – Aids. Current Funding. Gives the State Board for Vocational Education power to make rules for area vocational-technical schools, including rules on determination of program funds and apportionment of funds. Makes many other provisions including provisions relative to tax levies. Passed 132–0. A NO vote scores 2 points.

27. H.F. 184 Schools – Discrimination – Reduction in Aid Compliance. Provides that schools must provide assurances to the Commissioner of Human Rights that they do not discriminate because of race, color, creed, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, status with regard to public assitance, or disability. If found to do so they will have state aids reduced. Passed 84–38. A NO vote scores 2 points.

Bills in Category G

28. H.F. 679 Pistols – Regulates Transfer Possession and Carrying. Regulating transfer use and possession of pistols. This bill is in direct violation of the second amendment to the Constitution of the United States. Passed 68–66. A NO vote scores 3 points.

29. H.F. 354 Licensing of Day Care or Residential Facilities. Gives rules for licensing, governing denial and revocation of licenses. Gives rule making authority, provides for inspections and fines. Limits local zoning ordinances on location of facilities, and makes other provisions. The main objection to this bill is that it takes away local control. Passed 115–3. A NO vote scores 2 points.

Page One LEA Supplement

1975 ANNUAL REPORT ON THE MINNESOTA LEGISLATURE

HOUSE Name	Dist.	Voting '75 %	Introduction '75 Pts/I.Q.	'74 %	Cum. %	'74 Pts	Cum. Pts	A 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	B 10	11	12	13	14	15	C 16	17	18	19	D 20	21	22	23	24	E 25	26	27	G 28	29
ABELN, L.	38B	14	–67/2.39	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	–3	–2	n	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	n	–3	n
ADAMS, L.	44B	10	–73/1.74	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	n	–2	–2	–3	A	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	n
Adams, S.	42B	32	–21/1.17	60	35	+5	–14	+2	–2	–3	+3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	n	A	+3	–2	n	–2	N	+3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	n	–3	–2
Albrecht, R.	23A	61	+10/2.00	–	–	–	–	+2	+2	+3	+3	+2	–3	+3	n	–3	–3	–3	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+2	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	+2
ANDERSON, G.	15B	26	–21/0.7	22	19	–8	–6	+2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	n	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	n
ANDERSON, I.	3A	18	–88/1.80	10	15	–35	–46	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
ARLANDSON, J.	41A	4½	–64/2.46	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	n	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	n	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	n	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
BEAUCHAMP, D.	9A	9	–82/2.00	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	A	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
BEGICH, J.	6A	44	–54/1.46	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	+3	–3	n	–3	+3	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+3	+2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
BERG, T.	56B	11	–61/2.35	6	10	–10	–44	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	n	–3	–3	+3	–3	n	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	+2	–2	–2	–3	–2
BERGLIN, L.	59A	13	–109/2.48	10	3	–31	–23	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Biersdorf, J.	32A	55	–39/0.67	48	30	+4	–1	+2	–2	+3	+3	+2	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	n	+2	+3	+2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
BIRNSTIHL, O.	24B	34	–4/0.36	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	+3	–3	+2	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	+3	–3	+2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	n
BRAUN, A.	1A	37	+12/0.67	6	16	+2	+2	+2	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	–3	+3	–2	–3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
BRINKMAN, B.	16B	23	–28/1.04	8	24	–1	–8	–2	–2	+3	–3	n	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	n
BYRNE, P.	64B	16	–80/2.5	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	n	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Carlson, A.	58B	18	–126/2.38	21	14	–5	–36	+2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
CARLSON, L.	44A	7	–58/2.42	15	6	–7	–11	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
CARLSON, R.	14A	23	–16/.94	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	A	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
CASSERLY, J.	56A	7	–134/3.3	6	3	–31	–63	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
CLARK, J.	60A	8	–100/2.09	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
CLAWSON, J.	19A	13	–143/3.18	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	n	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	n	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
CORBID, J.	1B	12	–16/1.07	–	–	–	–	–2	A	–3	n	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
DAHL, H.	22B	8	–38/1.73	8	6	–6	–6	–2	–2	n	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
Dean, W.	58A	23	–54/1.74	–	–	–	–	A	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	n	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
DeGroat, F.	10A	45	+18/0.69	57	53	+11	+4	–2	n	+3	+3	+2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	+3	–2	n	+2	+3	+2	–2	–3	n	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
DIETERICH, N.	62A	12	–89/1.98	11	14	+2	–30	–2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
DOTY, G.	8A	33	–27/1.23	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–2	–3	–2	+3	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
ECKSTEIN, A.	28B	37	–22/1.69	30	35	+1	–19	–2	–2	+3	–3	+2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
EKEN, W.	2B	34	–31/1.03	23	26	+1	+2	–2	–2	–3	+3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
ENEBO, S.	60B	4½	–120/3.16	2	12	–17	–45	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	n	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	A	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Erickson, W.	26B	46	–15/.83	80	54	0	+2	–2	+2	+3	+3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	n	+2	+3	+2	+2	–3	A	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
Esau, G.	28A	51	+18/1.64	85	60	+5	–2	+2	+2	+3	+3	–2	–3	+3	–3	n	–3	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+2	–3	n	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
Evans, R.	29A	47	+12/0.71	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	+3	+3	–2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
Ewald, D.	40A	38	+1/.09	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	+3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	–3	–2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
FARICY, R.	63A	23	–117/2.66	12	13	–21	–42	+2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	+3	–3	–2	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Fjoslien, D.	11B	59	–2/0.13	77	57	–5	+8	+2	+2	+3	+3	+2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	+2	+3	–2	n	n	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
Forsythe, M.	39A	41	–62/1.68	49	40	–4	–5	+2	+2	+3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	–3	–2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	–3	–2
Friedrich, D.	32B	64	+1/0.08	–	–	–	–	+2	+2	+3	+3	+2	–3	+3	–3	A	–3	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	n	–2	+2	+3	–2
FUDRO, S.	55A	13	–32/1.45	8	16	–19	–33	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	n	n	n	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	–3	–2
FUGINA, P.	5A	15	–175/2.82	6	8	–43	–43	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
GEORGE, M.	50A	13	–37/1.12	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	n	–3	n	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
GRABA, J.	10B	25	–38/1.73	5	16	–3	–9	–2	–2	+3	–3	–2	n	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	n	–2	n	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
HANSON, W.	62B	9	–152/3.17	20	13	–18	–36	–2	–2	–3	n	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	n	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
HAUGERUD, N.	35A	24	–25/1.47	0	20	–2	–3	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	n	–2	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
Heinitz, O.	43A	55	–55/1.41	64	44	+2	–23	+2	–2	+3	+3	–2	+3	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	+2	n	+2	+2	+3	–2	n	+3	–2	–2	n	+3	–2
HOKANSON, S.	37A	16	–63/2.25	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
JACOBS, J.	47A	16	–64/1.70	8	5	–11	–12	+2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	n	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
JAROS, M.	7B	7	–104/2.89	6	5	–27	–45	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	n	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
JENSEN, R.	53B	44	–5/.055	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	n	+3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
JOHNSON, C.	23B	21	–5/0.17	13	15	–6	–16	–2	–2	+3	–3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+2	+3	–2	+3	+2	n	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
JOHNSON, D.	6B	16	–130/1.63	2	7	–29	–60	+2	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	A	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
Jopp, R.	36A	58	+7/.39	66	43	+2	–7	+2	–2	+3	+3	+2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	+2	+3	–2	+2	–3	n	–2	+2	+3	n
JUDE, T.	42A	19	–64/1.64	13	8	–7	+10	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
KAHN, P.	57A	7	–140/2.50	6	3	–8	–75	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Kaley, J.	33A	66	+23/3.83	–	–	–	–	+2	+2	+3	+3	+2	–3	+3	–3	+3	n	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+3	–2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
KALIS, H.	30A	33	–16/1.23	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
KELLY, R.	66B	22	–49/1.96	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
KELLY, W.	2A	20	–92/3.29	7	15	–19	–24	–2	–2	–3	+3	+2	–3	–3	n	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	+3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
KEMPE, A.	67A	28	–8/0.47	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	+2	n	+2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
KEMPE, R.	53A	39	–2/0.40	45	15	–6	+6	+2	–2	+3	+3	–2	–3	n	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	–3	–2
KETOLA, M.	14B	34	–34/2.00	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	+3	–3	–2	n	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–2	–3	–2	+3	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	n	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
Knickerbocker, G.	40B	21	–79/1.46	22	30	–13	–56	+2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	+3	–2	n	–2	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
KNOLL, F.	61A	8	–87/3.00	6	–	–19	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
KOSTOHRYZ, R.	50B	15	–44/2.00	10	–	–7	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	+2	–2	–2	–3	–2
KROENING, C.	54A	10	–34/2.00	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	n	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Kvam, A.	22A	54	–5/0.45	71	45	+10	–22	–2	–2	+3	+3	+2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–2	+3	n	+3	–2	+3	+2	+2	+3	–2	+2	+3	–2	–2	n	+3	–2
Laidig, G.	51A	34	–26/1.04	46	23	–3	–5	–2	–2	–3	+3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	–3	–2
LANGSETH, K.	9B	36	+4/0.29	–	–	–	–	–2	n	+3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	+3	–3	+2	–3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	n
LEMKE, R.	34A	38	–35/1.25	13	13	–1	–7	–2	–2	–3	–3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
LINDSTROM, J.	21A	24	–73/2.21	25	7	+10	–19	–2	–2	+3	–3	n	–3	+3	–3	–3	n	+3	–3	+2	n	–2	–3	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
LUTHER, W.	45B	9	–60/2.14	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
McCARRON, P.	46A	12	–146/2.32	10	5	–32	–22	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	n	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	n	–3	–2	n	–2	n	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	n	–2	–2	–3	–2
McCauley, M.	34B	49	–50/1.19	33	25	–9	–8	+2	–2	–3	+3	+2	+3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	n	+3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	n
McCOLLAR, M.	49B	23	–51/1.82	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+3	+2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	+2	–2	–2	–3	–2
McEACHERN, B.	18B	36	–51/1.65	10	12	–14	–11	+2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+3	+2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
MANGAN, T.	19B	13	–75/2.59	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	n
MANN, G.	27A	30	–7/0.23	34	23	+5	–12	+2	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
MEIER, C.	18A	27	–69/1.77	–	–	–	–	–2	+2	+3	–3	–2	–3	n	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	+2
MENNING, M.	26A	23	–9/0.41	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	n	+2	+3	+2	–2	–3	n	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
METZEN, J.	52A	13	–42/2.10	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	+3	+3	–2	–3	A	n	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	A	–2	–3	–2	–2	n	–3	–2
MOE, D.	65B	4	–84/3.11	10	10	–16	–44	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
MUNGER, W.	7A	7	–105/3.00	0	15	–25	–66	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
NEISEN, H.	49A	21	–59/2.36	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	+3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
Nelsen, B.	12A	46	+21/1.17	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	+3	n	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
NELSON, K.	59B	15	–84/2.63	10	3	–31	–35	–2	–2	–3	+3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–3	n	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Niehaus, J.	16A	52	+33/1.65	75	54	+22	–8	–2	+2	+3	+3	–2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	+2
NORTON, F.	65A	10	–121/3.27	4	13	–27	–48	+2	–2	–3	–3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
NOVAK, S.	48A	11	–61/2.10	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
OSTHOFF, T.	64A	29	–57/1.46	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–2	–3	–2	n	n	+3	+2	–2	+3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	n	–3	–2
PARISH, R.	43B	13	–83/2.52	2	6	–5	–24	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	n
PATTON, A.	17A	12	–133/2.11	4	11	–12	–24	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
PEHLER, J.	17B	12	–130/2.89	14	8	–24	–33	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
Peterson, D.	27B	55	–13/1.08	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	+3	+3	+2	–3	+3	–3	n	–3	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
PETRAFESO, P.	41B	13	–123/3.62	–	–	–	–	A	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
PHILBROOK, B.	48B	16	–54/2.08	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	n	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Pleasant, R.	39B	48	–40/1.90	65	46	–7	–	+2	+2	+3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	–3	+2	+2	+3	A	–2	+3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
PRAHL, N.	3B	29	–81/1.93	17	22	–27	–28	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
REDING, L.	31B	18	–42/2.00	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
RICE, J.	54B	0	–65/2.95	0	10	–35	–67	A	–2	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	–3	–2	A	A	A	–2	A	A	–2	A	–3	A	A	A	–3	A
SABO, M.	57B	11	–46/2.56	7	10	–3	–43	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
SAMUELSON, D.	13A	22	–61/1.85	18	23	–3	–35	+2	–2	–3	–3	A	n	–3	–3	–3	n	+3	–3	A	–3	–2	A	+2	+3	–2	n	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	n
SARNA, J.	55B	16	–51/1.70	10	6	–20	–39	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	n	n	+3	–2	–2	+3	–2

1975 ANNUAL REPORT ON THE MINNESOTA LEGISLATURE

HOUSE

Name	Dist.	Voting '75 %	Introduction '75 Pts/I.Q.	'74 %	Cum. %	'74 Pts	Cum. Pts	A 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	B 10	11	12	13	14	15	C 16	17	18	19	D 20	21	22	23	24	E 25	26	27	G 28	29
SETZEPFANDT, A.	21B	25	–10/0.37	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	+3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	–2	+3	–2	n	–2	+3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
SHERWOOD, G.	4B	28	–19/0.68	18	6	–6	–11	+2	–2	+3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	–2	+3	–2	–3	+2	+3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
SIEBEN, H.	52B	10	–136/2.62	6	17	–48	–45	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	A	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
SIEBEN, M.	51B	7	–95/2.57	10	6	–20	–7	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	A	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Sieloff, R.	63B	49	–18/0.51	–	–	–	–	+2	+2	+3	+3	–2	+3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	+2	+3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–2	–2	–3	–2
SIMONEAU, W.	46B	7	–67/2.16	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	A	–2	–3	–2
SKOGLUND, W.	61B	8	–66/2.36	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	A	–2	+3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
SMITH, H..	13B	28	–26/1.53	24	32	–10	–7	–2	–2	+3	–3	n	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	A	–2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	n	+3	n
SMOGARD, E.	20A	19	–24/1.14	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	–2	+3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
SPANISH, J.	5B	40	–15/1.25	19	28	–2	–8	+2	A	n	+3	–2	–3	n	–3	–3	n	+3	+3	–2	–3	–2	A	+2	+3	+2	n	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	n	+3	–2
ST. ONGE, D.	4A	29	–70/2.19	5	9	+13	–14	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+3	+2	–2	+3	A	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
STANTON, R.	20B	7	–154/2.48	2	8	–16	–33	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
SUSS, T.	36B	9	–84/2.47	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	n	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	n	–3	n	–2	n	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
SWANSON, J.	37B	12	–105/2.92	8	28	–10	–47	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	+3	n	–2	–3	–2	n	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
TOMLINSON, J.	67B	13	–45/2.25	14	28	–33	–64	–2	A	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	A	–2	n	–2	–3	A	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Ulland, J.	8B	25	–53/2.04	25	20	–16	–35	–2	+2	+3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	+2	+3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
VANASEK, B.	24A	24	–40/1.33	12	6	–7	–21	–2	–2	–3	–3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	+3	–3	+2	–3	–2	+3	–2	+3	n	n	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	n	–3	–2
VENTO, B.	66A	13	–128/3.2	10	10	–48	–83	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	n	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	+2	–2	–2	–3	–2
VOSS, G.	47B	11	–96/3.3	8	14	–20	–12	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	+2	–2	–2	–3	–2
WENSTROM, G.	11A	22	–44/2.44	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	+3	–2	–3	+2	+3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
WENZEL, S.	12B	37	–24/1.20	21	15	–6	+2	+2	n	–3	+3	–2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	+2	–3	A	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	+3	–2
WHITE, J.	25A	11	–28/1.47	–	–	–	–	–2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
WIESER, A.	35B	51	–9/1.00	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	+3	n	+2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+3	–2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2
WIGLEY, R.	29B	64	–9/0.82	72	40	–14	0	+2	–2	+3	+3	+2	A	+3	–3	–3	A	–3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	+2	+3	+2	n	+3	–2	A	–3	A	–2	+2	+3	n
WILLIAMSON, B	38A	11	–97/2.69	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	–3	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–2	–3	–2
Zubay, K.	33B	54	–12/1.09	–	–	–	–	+2	–2	+3	+3	+2	–3	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+3	–2	+2	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	+2	+3	–2

SENATE

Name	Dist.	Voting '75 %	Introduction '75 Pts/I.Q.	'74 %	Cum. %	'74 Pts	Cum. Pts	A 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	B 13	14	15	16	17	C 18	19	20	D 21	22	23	E 24	25	26	27	28	G 29
ANDERSON, J.	19	24	–124/–2.88	19	19	0	–11	–2	–3	–2	n	+3	n	n	n	–2	+2	–3	n	A	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	+2	–2	+2	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3
ARNOLD	3	14	–82/–2.56	23	4	–5	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	n	–3	+3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	+2	–2	+2	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	+3
Ashbach	48	52	–60/–1.50	55	37	0	–21	–2	–3	–2	+3	+3	–3	–3	+3	–2	+2	–3	+2	n	+3	–2	n	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	n	+3	n	+2	–2	+2	n	+3
Bang	39	45	–7/–0.32	69	31	+4	–6	–2	–3	–2	+3	+3	–3	–3	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	+3	+2	–3	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3	–3	+2	n	+2	n	–3
BERG* (Ind)	15	51	+16/+1.33	80	60	+8	–8	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–3	+3	+3	+2	–2	+2	–1	A	–3	+2	n	n	+1	+3
Bernhagen	22	47	–8/–0.40	83	46	+11	+1	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	n	+2	–3	n	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3	–3	+2	–2	+2	+1	+3
Blatz	38	47	–14/–0.73	70	43	+14	+4	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	n	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	A	+3	+2	–3	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	n	+3	–3	+2	–2	+2	n	+3
BORDEN	13	20	–85/–1.89	10	8	–15	–48	A	n	A	A	–3	n	n	–3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–2	–3	n	–3	–3	–3	+2	–2	+2	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	n	n	+3
Brataas	33	34	–18/–0.64	–	–	–	–	–2	–3	–2	+3	+3	–3	+3	–3	–2	+2	–3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–3	+3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	–3
Brown	51	49	–53/–2.04	69	42	+43	–24	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	n	–3	+3	–2	+2	–3	+2	+2	–3	+2	–3	+3	+3	+2	–2	–2	–1	+3	–3	+2	–2	+2	+1	+3
CHENOWETH	66	17	–159/–3.79	9	3	–21	–52	–2	–3	–2	–3	+3	n	n	–3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	–3	n	–2	+2	–1	–3
CHMIELEWSKI	14	30	–4/–0.15	19	33	+20	–9	–2	–3	A	n	–3	–3	n	+3	+2	–2	–3	+2	–2	–3	+2	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	–2	–1	–3	n	–2	–2	+2	–1	n
COLEMAN	65	9	–137/–3.81	0	14	–25	–65	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	n	–3	–3	+2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	n	–3	–3	–2	n	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3
CONZEMIUS	25	19	–145/–2.42	19	15	–17	–122	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	n	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	n	+2	n	–3
DAVIES	60	7	–41/–2.28	15	8	0	–16	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	n	–2	–3	N	–2	–3	n	–3	+3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	–2	n	–3
DOTY	8	13	–96/–2.09	15	16	–23	–28	–2	–3	A	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	n	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	n	–2	n	+2	–1	–3
Dunn	18	46	–63/–1.91	55	35	0	–27	–2	–3	–2	+3	+3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	n	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	+3
Fitzsimons	1	49	–10/–0.56	59	28	–6	–4	–2	–3	A	+3	–3	–3	n	+3	–2	+2	A	A	+2	3	+2	–3	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	n	+3	–3	+2	–2	+2	n	+3
Frederick	32	44	+33/+1.94	80	38	+12	+11	–2	–3	–2	+3	+3	n	+3	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	+2	n	n	–3	n	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3	–3	+2	–2	–2	–1	+3
GEARTY	54	13	–146/–2.43	10	16	–1	–59	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–2	–3	+2	–3	–3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	n	–2	n	+2	–1	–3
HANSEN, B.	31	47	–12/–0.31	73	60	+6	–5	–2	–3	–2	n	+3	–3	–3	+3	–2	–2	+3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–3	+3	+3	+2	–2	+2	–1	+3	–3	–2	–2	+2	+1	+3
Hansen, M.	61	27	–14/–0.50	57	40	+26	–13	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	n	n	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	n	+3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3
Hanson, R.	10	40	–14/–0.67	75	31	+11	–6	–2	–3	A	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–3	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	+3
HUGHES	50	9	–135/–3.21	5	4	–3	–37	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	n	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3
HUMPHREY	44	6	–151/–3.51	3	6	–24	–40	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	n	n	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	–3	–2	n	+2	n	–3
Jensen	28	43	–2/–0.10	69	36	+8	–5	A	–3	A	A	–3	n	+3	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	+2	n	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	+2	–2	n	–1	+3
Josefson	20	47	+5/+0.50	80	47	+1	–1	–2	–3	–2	+3	+3	–3	n	+3	+2	n	–3	–2	+2	+3	+2	–3	–3	+3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	n	+2	n	+2	–1	+3
Keefe, J.	40	34	–113/–2.02	53	33	+11	–60	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+2	–3	n	+2	–3	+2	–3	–3	+3	–2	–2	+2	n	n	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	–3
KEEFE, S.	59	6	–237/–2.49	5	4	–26	–60	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	A	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3
Kirchner	37	32	–71/–2.18	37	32	–7	–25	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	+3	–2	n	n	+3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	n	+2	n	+2	–1	–3
KLEINBAUM	17	16	–74/–1.72	6	4	–23	–34	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	+2	–3	–3	n	–2	-2	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3
Knutson	53	42	–53/–2.04	82	36	–5	–32	–2	n	–2	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–2	–3	+2	n	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3	–3	+2	–2	–2	–1	+3
Kowalczyk	45	50	–42/–1.91	81	57	+7	–2	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	+3	+3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–2	–3	+2	–3	+3	+3	+2	–2	+2	–1	+3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	+3
Larson, L.	35	42	–3/–0.13	62	40	+5	–13	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	n	+3	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	n	+2	–3	+3	+3	+2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	n	+2	n	+3
LAUFENBURGER	34	6	–69/–1.68	15	10	–30	–20	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	–3	n	n	n	–2	n	–3
LEWIS	41	10	–91/–2.39	5	8	–8	–46	–2	–3	–2	A	–3	n	–3	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	n	–2	n	n	–1	–3
McCUTCHEON	67	3¼	–161/–2.56	12	31	+5	–65	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	–2	n	–3	n	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3
MERRIAM	47	2¾	–84/–3.11	–	–	–	–	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	–2	–1	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3
MILTON	49	9	–216/–3.37	12	6	–12	–66	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	+2	–2	–3	–2	n	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	+2	–2	–2	–1	–3	–3	–2	n	+2	–1	–3
MOE	2	10	–126/3.82	5	11	–13	–17	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	n	–3	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	n	–2	n	+2	–1	–3
Nelson	43	46	–105/–3.09	63	37	+30	+5	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	n	+3	–2	+2	A	A	+2	–3	–2	n	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	+3
NORTH	62	8	–153/–3.19	13	12	–18	–72	A	–3	–2	A	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	–2	n	–1	–3
Ogdahl	58	6	–112/–2.60	45	16	–2	–63	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	n	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	n	–2	n	–2	–1	–3
OLHOFT	11	19	–57/–1.63	13	11	–1	–14	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	+2	–3	–3	+3	–2	+2	+2	–1	n	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3
OLSON, A. G.	21	9	–65/–2.60	12	7	–13	–66	–2	–3	A	–3	–3	–3	A	–3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	n	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	–2	–1	–3	–3	–2	n	+2	n	–3

What is LEA....?

LEA is a multipartisan, non-profit organization established to keep the citizens of Minnesota informed about legislation introduced in the state legislature, as evaluated according to LEA's principles. LEA also rates the performance of each state senator and representative on his or her votes on the key issues and also (only LEA does this) on bills sponsored.

LEA conducts it's analysis and all it's other activities solely with the donated efforts of it's membership.

LEA bases it's evaluations on these principles:

* **The american form of government; constitutional, limited, local, dispersal of power.**
* **Freedom and dignity of the individual.**
* **Legal and moral order with justice.**
* **Private property and a free market economy.**
* **National sovereignty and strength.**

LEA has no income other than from donations and the sale of it's reports. Your donations and orders are urgently needed to make it possible for LEA to continue it's work.

To: LEA
P.O. Box 12701
New Brighton, Minn, 55112

____ Please send me an analysis of legislation sponsored by Rep./ Sen. _____ during the 1975 session. I enclose $10.00 for each.

____ Please send me additional copies of the 1975 report @ 10 cents each plus 25 cents for postage and handling.

____ I want to work with LEA.

____ A contribution of ___$500. ___$250. ___$100. ___$50. ___$25 ___$10. _____ is enclosed.

Name _____

Address _____

SENATE Name	Dist.	Voting '75 %	Introduction '75 Pts/I.Q.	'74 %	Cum. %	'74 Pts	Cum. Pts	A 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	B 13	14	15	16	17	C 18	19	20	21	D 22	23	E 24	25	26	27	28	G 29
OLSON, H. D.	27	30	–26/–1.08	35	37	–4	–16	–2	–3	–2	n	–3	–3	–3	–3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	n	+2	n	n	+3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	–3	+2	n	+2	–1	+3
Olson, J. L.	26	45	–22/1.16	77	51	+1	–4	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–3	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	n	+2	n	+2	+1	+3
O'Neill	63	32	–123/2.46	37	24	0	–52	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	n	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–3	–3	+3	–2	–2	+2	n	+3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3
Patton	30	48	+12/+0.80	75	39	+5	0	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	+2	+3	+2	–3	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	+2	n	–2	–1	+3
PERPICH, A. J.	6	17	–155/–3.37	9	7	–20	–27	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	n	–3	–3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	–1	n	–2	–2	+2	n	+3
PERPICH, G.	5	14	–35/–1.75	22	17	–20	–62	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	n	–3	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	n	–3	–3	+2	–2	–2	n	–3	n	–2	n	+2	–1	+3
Pillsbury	42	40	–34/–2.00	57	23	–1	–19	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–2	+2	–3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–3	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	n	+3	–3	+2	–2	+2	+1	–3
PURFEERST	24	21	–61/–1.85	28	17	+2	–16	A	–3	–2	A	–3	n	–3	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	n	+3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	–3	n	–2	n	n	–1	–3
Renneke	23	46	+2/+0.15	86	56	+6	–21	–2	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	+3	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	+2	n	+3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	n	+2	n	–2	–1	+3
SCHAAF	46	6	–108/–3.09	5	8	–12	–56	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	n	–3	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	n	–3	–3	+2	–2	–2	n	–3	n	–2	n	–2	n	–3
SCHMITZ	36	29	–28/–1.75	–	–	–	–	–2	+3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	+2	n	–3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–2	+3
SCHROM	16	38	+5/+0.21	55	44	+9	–1	–2	–3	–2	n	–3	–3	–3	+3	+2	–2	–3	+2	+2	+3	+2	n	n	+3	+2	–2	–2	–1	–3	–3	–2	n	+2	–1	+3
Sillers	9	30	–39/–1.44	43	30	–1	–17	–2	–3	–2	+3	n	–3	n	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–3	n	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	+3	–3	n	–2	+2	–1	–3
SOLON	7	11	–90/–2.73	10	16	–8	–48	–2	–3	A	–3	–3	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	n	+2	–1	+3
SPEAR	57	6	–113/–2.90	5	4	–52	–53	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	n	–2	–1	–3
Stassen	52	34	–60/–1.40	50	26	+12	–27	n	–3	–2	+3	–3	–3	n	+3	–2	+2	–3	+2	+2	–3	+2	–3	+3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	–3
Stokowski	55	9	–39/–1.79	2	2	–6	–28	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	+2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3
STUMPF	64	2¾	–59/–2.46	–	–	–	–	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	–2	–2	–2	–1	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3
TENNESSEN	56	14	–105/–3.09	5	11	+8	–67	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	+3	–3	–2	+2	–3	–2	+2	n	–2	n	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	n	–3	n	–2	–2	–2	n	–3
Ueland	29	31	–30/–1.07	73	40	+4	–10	–2	–3	–2	A	–3	–3	–3	+3	–2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	–2	–3	n	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3	–3	+2	–2	+2	–1	+3
WEGENER	12	30	–38/–2.53	12	15	+7	–2	–2	–3	–2	A	–3	n	–3	+3	+2	+2	–3	–2	–2	–3	+2	n	–3	+3	–2	–2	+2	–1	–3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3
WILLET	4	25	–34/–0.94	21	32	+9	–6	–2	–3	–2	–3	–3	–3	n	–3	–2	+2	–3	+2	–2	–3	+2	–3	–3	+3	–2	–2	–2	n	+3	–3	–2	–2	+2	–1	+3

VOTES USED IN SENATE RATINGS.

Bills in Category A

1. S.F. 115. Created a Minnesota State Board of the Arts. Passed 61–0. A NO vote scores 2 points.

2. S.F. 757. Changes Minnesota statutes general policy statement that tax-forfeited lands should be returned to private ownership. In hardship cases, former owners might be able to repurchase land within one year. Passed 65–0. A NO vote scores 3 points.

3. S.F. 583. Optional forms of town government. Requires towns to select one of four specified forms of government. Passed 59–0. A NO vote scores 2 points.

4. S.F. 954. Places many additional restrictions on election compaigning, generally impeding the exercise of free speech in campagins. The vote was on an amendment which would bring labor unions under the same campaign support restrictions as other organizations. The amendment failed of passage 25–30. A YES vote scores 3 points.

5. S.F. 1114. Removes all limits on the number of deputy and assistant attorneys general that may be on the State Attorney General's Staff. Increase pay schedules significantly. Passed 52–14. A NO vote scores 3 points.

6. H.F. 1309. Authorizes, initially on a demonstration basis, a Regional Service Center, to be set up by the State, in Region 2, thereby weakening local self government. Passed 52–0. A NO vote scores 3 points.

7. S.F. 250. Established the Citizens Commission for the Minnesota Legislature for the primary purpose of recommending a pay raise for legislators. Other purposes are to help create a full time legislature. Passed 39–11. A NO vote scores 3 points.

8. S.F. 1653. Would have required all local units of government in the 7-county metropolitan area to prepare comprehensive, long-range development plans and submit them to the Metropolitan Council for approval or disapproval. The vote was on a motion to re-refer the bill to Committee. The motion passed 34–32, and the bill did not return to the floor. A YES vote scores 3 points.

9. S.F. 867. Created a Manager employment classification with its own pay schedule for State employees. Passed 46–20. A NO vote scores 2 points.

10. S.F. 276. Would have prohibited labor unions and other associations from contributing funds from dues or membership fees to campaigns. Passed 47–18. A YES vote scores 2 points.

11. S.F. 375. Requires the establishment of a uniform municipal code for all cities and towns in the State. Passed 64–1. A NO vote scores 3 points.

12. S.F. 587. Authorizes an additional property tax to be levied. This one by the Rice Creek Watershed District. Passed 52–11. A NO vote scores 2 points.

Bills in Category B

13. S.F. 435. Requires that when the Public Service Commission investigates any telephone company in the state, the company (and its subscribers) pay for the cost of the investigation. Passed 39–23. A NO vote scores 2 points.

14. H.F. 474. Provides that no taxpayer who receives rental income from a building which does not meet code shall be allowed to deduct interest, property taxes, depreciation, business expenses, or other deductions relating to the building. Passed 57–17. A NO vote scores 3 points.

15. H.F. 645. The bill would create multi-county health boards to establish and operate comprehensive programs to maintain and improve health and to control environmental degradtion and deterioration. The vote was on an amendment requiring said boards to notify parents or guardians before providing any drug, medical preparation, or contraceptive device to unmarried persons under the age of 17 years. The amendment failed of passage 29–30. A YES vote scores 2 points.

16. H.F. 943. Gives the Cable TV Commission additional power. Requires cable system owners to provide free of charge channel to Regional Government for its use in Public TV. Passed 46–0. A NO vote scores 3 points.

17. H.F. 1137. Appropriates $45,000,000 for the Housing Finance Agency to make low interest loans to selected individuals and organizations. Passed 37–32. A NO vote scores 3 points.

Bills in Category C

18. H.F. 69. Requires coeducational athletics teams in all schools in the state with certain specified exceptions. The vote was on an amendment to repeal Minnesota's ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment. The amendment failed of passage on a tie (33–33) vote. A YES vote scores 3 points.

19. H.F. 749. Decriminalized the possession of a small amount (1½ oz.) of marijuana. Preempts local laws. Passed 50–17. A NO vote scores 2 points.

20. S.F. 1342. Allows the establishment of any commercial enterprise within a prison or jail. Inmates employed would receive at least the prevailing minimum wage. Passed 64–2. A NO vote scores 2 points.

Bills in Category D

21. S.F. 633. Doubles inheritance tax exemption to $60,000 for a widow and $30,000 for a minor child. Passed 56–11. A YES vote scores 2 points.

22. H.F. 794. Increases tax burden by requiring that state contracts be let only to employers paying union scale. Passed 40–0. A NO vote scores 1 point.

23. H.F. 1722. Increase gasoline tax from 7 cents per gallon to 9 cents. Gives MTC additional property tax levy authority. Gives MTC $31,000,000 state taxpayer subsidy. Passed 46–18. A NO vote scores 3 points.

Bills in Category E

24. S.F. 22. Creates regional Educational Cooperative Service Units in all of the states Regional government areas except the Metro area. Passed 49–0. A NO vote scores 3 points.

25. H.F. 235. Provides state taxpayer funds of $3,300 per pupil unit per year (less tuition, if any) for past-secondary vocational technical school training. Gives control to the State Board for Vocational Education. The vote was on an amendment which would give local school districts more control. The amendment failed 25–39. A YES vote scores 2 points.

26. S.F. 407. Creates a school readiness testing program including the encouragement of medical-psychological-neurological examinations. Passed 42–0. A NO vote scores 2 points.

27. S.F. 570. Permit deduction of full elementary or secondary school expenses for parents' income tax purpose, including tuition, transportation, and textbooks. Previous limit was $200 per dependent. Passed 51–10. A YES vote scores 2 points.

28. H.F. 80. Authorizes the use of student activity fees in State Colleges and Universities for student legal counselling services. Passed 44–6. A NO vote scores one point.

Bills in Category G

29. H.F. 679. The modified Spannaus Gun Control Bill. Passed on this reconsidation vote 34–32. A NO vote scores 3 points.